https://www.ahdakademi.com

Ahd Akademi Dergisi, 1(1): 1–5
DOI:10.1327/1327
Received: 01 01 2026
Revised: 10 03 2026
Accepted: 15 03 2026
Published: 21 03 2026

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Unique Midpoint Property in Ultrametric Spaces

Mehmet VURAL[a*]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay Türkiye*

[*] *Corr.Auth.:* mvural@mku.edu.tr

Abstract:
In this paper we characterize ultrametric spaces that satisfy the unique midpoint property and conclude that such a space must consist of a single point or three points.

Keywords: ultrametric,unique midpoint property, topology
Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 34B24, 47A70; Secondary 34L20, 47B25

1. Introduction

Let X be a set and $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. If the function d satisfies the following properties for all $x, y, z \in X$:

$(d1)$ $d(x, y) \geq 0$
$(d2)$ $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$
$(d3)$ $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
$(d4)$ $\max\{d(x, y), d(y, z)\} \geq d(x, z)$

then d is called an ultrametric function on X, and the pair (X, d) is called ultrametric space.It is obvious that every ultrametric space is a metric space. There are also interesting properties that balls provide. Here are two important properties that we use throughout this article: Let (X, d) be an ultrametric space, $x, y \in X$ and $r_1, r_2 > 0$. If $B(x, r_1) \cap B(y, r_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $B(x, r_1) \subseteq B(y, r_2)$ or $B(y, r_2) \subseteq B(x, r_1)$. And also
If $y \in B(x, r_1)$, then $B(x, r_1) = B(y, r_1)$. Let (X, d) be a metric spaces. If for an arbitrary pair $x, y \in X$ there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $d(x, z) = d(z, y)$, then the point z is called the midpoint of x and y. This space is said to have the unique midpoint property (UMP).

Since the topic of this article is the existence of the unique midpoint property in ultrametric spaces, no extra information on either the ultrametric space or the unique midpoint property is presented, but for convenience, the topic of the ultrametric space and the unique midpoint property can be followed through the articles [1], [2] , [3], and [4].

2. Assumption: There exist an ultrametric space with the unique midpoint property

Let (X, d) be an ultrametric space with the unique midpoint property. For any given points $x, m_0 \in X$, by our assumption, there exists a unique point $m_1 \in X$ such that

$$d(x, m_1) = d(m_1, m_0)$$

and by the ultrametric axiom $(d4)$, it is obtained that

$$d(x, m_1) = d(m_1, m_0) \geq d(x, m_0)$$

.

In this situation there are two cases; (case 1) $d(x, m_1) = d(m_1, m_0) > d(x, m_0)$ and (case 2)$d(x, m_1) = d(m_1, m_0) = d(x, m_0)$.
Case 1: $d(x, m_1) = d(m_1, m_0) > d(x, m_0)$

Let $m_2 \in X$ be the unique midpoint of x and m_1 so

$$d(x, m_2) = d(m_1, m_2)$$

Then by ultrametric axiom $(d4)$

$$max\{d(x, m_2), d(m_2, m_1)\} \geq d(x, m_1)$$

must be holds. by the case

$$d(m_1, m_2) = d(x, m_2) \geq d(x, m_1) > d(x, m_0)$$

and

$$d(m_1, m_2) = d(x, m_2) \geq d(m_0, m_1) > d(x, m_0)$$

So there are two subcases:
Subcase 1:

$$d(m_1, m_2) = d(x, m_2) = d(x, m_1) = d(m_0, m_1) > d(x, m_0)$$

By the ultrametric axiom:

$$\max\{d(m_0, m_2), d(x, m_0)\} \geq d(x, m_2)$$

By the Case 1:

$$\max\{d(m_0, m_2), d(x, m_0)\} > d(x, m_2)$$

Must hold. Therefore, the following equality is imperative:

$$\max\{d(m_0, m_2), d(x, m_0)\} = d(m_0, m_2)$$

Hence

$$d(m_0, m_2) > d(x, m_0)$$

Also:

$$\max\{d(x, m_0), d(m_2, x)\} \geq d(m_0, m_2) > d(x, m_0)$$

$$d(x, m_2) \geq d(m_0, m_2) > d(x, m_0)$$

By the uniqueness of the midpoint of x and m_0:

$$d(x, m_2) > d(m_0, m_2) > d(x, m_0)$$

Therefore:

$$\max\{d(m_0, m_2), d(x, m_0)\} \geq d(x, m_2)$$

$$d(x, m_2) > d(m_0, m_2) \geq d(x, m_2)$$

This is a contradiction.
Subcase 2: $d(m_1, m_2) = d(x, m_2) > d(m_0, m_1) = d(x, m_1) > d(x, m_0)$
By the ultrametric property:

$$\max\{d(x, m_2), d(x, m_0)\} \geq d(m_0, m_2)$$

$$d(x, m_2) \geq d(m_0, m_2)$$

By the uniqueness of the midpoint of x and m_0:

$$d(x, m_2) > d(m_0, m_2)$$

Also by the ultrametric property:

$$\max\{d(m_0, m_2), d(m_0, m_1)\} \geq d(m_1, m_2)$$

If $d(m_0, m_2) \geq d(m_1, m_2)$ then we get:

$$d(x, m_2) > d(m_0, m_2) \geq d(m_1, m_2) = d(x, m_2)$$

which is a contradiction.
If

$$d(m_0, m_1) \geq d(m_1, m_2)$$

then we get:

$$d(m_1, m_2) > d(m_0, m_1) \geq d(m_1, m_2)$$

which is another contradiction. Thus, it is shown that Case 1 never occurs. Hence Case 2 must be satisfied.

Theorem 1. *Let $B(x, r)$ be an open ball and $x, m_0 \in B(x, r)$. If the midpoint, say m_1, of x and m_0 is an element of $B(x, r)$, then $B(x, r)$ cannot contain any element other than x, m_0 and m_1.*

Proof. Assume that there exists $z \in B(x, r)$ such that $z \neq \{x, m_0, m_1\}$. It is clear that

$$d(x, m_0) = d(m_0, m_1) = d(m_1, x)$$

since Case 2 holds. Moreover, by the uniqueness of the midpoint:

$$d(x, z) \neq d(m_0, z) \neq d(m_1, z)$$

So without loss of generality:

$$d(x, z) < d(m_0, z) < d(m_1, z)$$

By the ultrametric axiom (d_4):

$$\max\{d(x,z), d(m_0,z)\} \geq d(x,m_0)$$

$$d(m_0,z) \geq d(x,m_0)$$

There are two cases:
Case 1: $d(m_0,z) > d(x,m_0)$. By the ultrametric axiom (d_4):

$$\max\{d(m_0,z), d(m_0,m_1)\} \geq d(m_1,z)$$

$d(m_0,z) \geq d(m_1,z)$ It is a contradiction.
Case 2: $d(m_0,z) = d(x,m_0)$. This means that: $d(m_0,z) = d(x,m_0) = d(m_0,m_1) = d(m_1,x)$ By the ultrametric axiom (d_4):

$$\max\{d(m_0,z), d(m_0,m_1)\} \geq d(m_1,z)$$

$d(m_0,z) \geq d(m_1,z)$ □

Theorem 2. *Let (X,d) be an ultrametric space with UMP. An element can be the midpoint of at most one pair of elements.*

Proof. Assume a point m is the midpoint of x_1 and x_2. There are two cases:
Case 1: Let $x_3 \in X$ and m be the midpoint of x_2 and x_3. There exists $r_1, r_2 > 0$ such that $B(x_2,r_1)$ contains x_1, x_2, m and $B(x_2,r_2)$ contains x_2, x_3, m. So $B(x_2,r_0)$ contains x_1, x_2, x_3, m where $r_0 := \max\{r_1, r_2\}$, but this is impossible by Theorem 1.
Case 2: Let $x_3, x_4 \in X$ and m be the midpoint of x_3 and x_4. There exists $r_1, r_2 > 0$ such that $B(x_2,r_1)$ contains x_1, x_2, m and $B(x_3,r_2)$ contains x_3, x_4, m. It is clear that:

$$B(x_2,r_1) = B(m,r_1)$$

and

$$B(x_3,r_2) = B(m,r_2)$$

Hence $B(m,r_0)$ contains x_1, x_2, x_3, m where $r_0 := \max\{r_1, r_2\}$, but this is a contradiction. □

Theorem 3. *Any open ball containing at least three elements must contain the midpoint of those elements.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in B(x,r)$ and let m be the midpoint of x and y such that $m \notin B(x,r)$, so $d(x,m) \geq r$. By Case 2, the equality

$$d(x,m) = d(x,y) = d(y,m)$$

must hold. Since $x, y \in B(x,r)$, we have $B(x,r) = B(y,r)$, hence $d(x,y) < r$. However, by the above equality, $d(x,y) \geq r$. This is a contradiction. Therefore m must be contained by $B(x,r)$. □

Remark: As can be easily deduced from Theorem 3, a two-element ball cannot exist in an ultrametric space with UMP. Namely, let us assume that there is a two-element ball. Let $x, y \in B(x,r)$ then the equailty $d(x,y) = d(y,x) = d(x,x)$ must be hold by Case 2 so $x = y$.

Theorem 4. *Let $B(x,r)$ be any open ball with finite elements.* $|B(x,r)| = 1$ *or* $|B(x,r)| = 3$

Proof. Let assume $|B(x,r)| \geq 4$. It is clear that

$$|C(|B(x,r)|;2)| > |B(x,r)|$$

so there exists at least one element that must be the midpoint of at least two pairs of elements. This contradicts Theorem 2. □

3. Conclusion

Let us take the elements x, y and their midpoint m from an ultrametric space satisfying the unique midpoint property. A ball $B(x, r)$ containing these three points contains no other points by Theorem 1. If there is an element $z \in X$ outside this ball, then the ball $B(x, d(x, y) + 1)$ contains the element z, a contradiction. Then an ultrametric space satisfying UMP consists of either one point or three points **References**

1. Lemin, Alex. "On ultrametrization of general metric spaces." Proceedings of the American mathematical society 131.3 (2003): 979-989.
2. Kitai, Yuri. "Unique midpoint property in metrizable spaces: a survey." Mem. Fac. Sci. Eng. ShimaneUniv. Ser. BMath. Sci. 38 (2005): 31-38.
3. Y. Hattori and H. Ohta, A metric characterization of a subspace of the real line, Topology Proc. 18 (1993), 75-87.
4. Sam B. Nadler, Jr., An embedding theorem for certain spaces with an equidistant property,Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1976), no. 1, 179-183.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed equally to conceptualization, methodology, and writing.

Funding Statement

This research received no specific grant from funding agencies.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Author, T. (2023). Modern Mathematical Methods. *Journal*, 1(1), 1-15.
2. Researcher, R. (2024). Advanced Analysis Techniques. Publisher.
3. Pioneer, P. (2000). Foundational Theories. *Classic Journal*, 50(2), 100-120.